

From: Solicitor SOLICITOR@manchesternh.gov
Subject: RE: General Inquiries
Date: December 9, 2016 at 1:19 PM
To: Max Ledoux max@tuftonboro.net



There is no cost to take a picture of it.

Helen M. Sowa
Legal Asst. III/Office Manager
City Solicitor's Office
Tel. No. 603-624-6523

From: Max Ledoux [mailto:max@tuftonboro.net]
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 12:12 PM
To: Solicitor
Subject: Re: General Inquiries

Thank you, Helen,

I appreciate your prompt and helpful response.

If I were to come in to the office in person could I take a picture of the email with my own camera free of charge?

Kind Regards,
Max

On Dec 9, 2016, at 10:40 AM, Solicitor <SOLICITOR@manchesternh.gov> wrote:

Dear Mr. Ledoux,
The Solicitor has received your e-mail. The charge for the e-mail you requested is \$1.00, per city policy. Upon receipt of the \$1.00 charge we will provide the e-mail you requested. The City's policy with regard to RSA 91-A request is on line and can be found at <http://www.manchesternh.gov/Departments/City-Clerk/Access-to-Governmental-Records>.

Helen M. Sowa
Legal Asst. III/Office Manager
City Solicitor's Office
Tel. No. 603-624-6523

From: max@tuftonboro.net [mailto:max@tuftonboro.net]
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2016 2:38 PM
To: Solicitor; Solicitor
Subject: General Inquiries

Dear Mr. Clark, Under RSA 91-A, please send to me the email mentioned in this Union Leader

Article:<http://www.unionleader.com/article/20160510/NEWS0606/160519993>.
In the email, Deputy City Solicitor Tom Arnold wrote to Mark Hayward of the Union Leader, ""(Y)ou should have been allowed to take pictures of the records produced by the Police Department without charge." Additionally, would you also please tell me if the records that Mr. Hayward photographed had any information within them that was redacted prior to his being allowed to inspect the records? I am writing an article about the Right to Know law in New Hampshire and I am hoping to understand the policies of various municipalities when it comes to inspecting records and making copies with ones own devices. In particular, if you could please shed light on whether the city of Manchester would charge a fee to a member of the public to inspect a record that needed to first be redacted. IE Can a municipality charge a fee for the act of redaction itself? I understand that my questions (other than requesting the email to Mark Hayward) do not fall under RSA 91-A and that you have no obligation to answer. However, I hope that you will be able to briefly summarize the city's policy, or perhaps point me to the policy itself so that I can find my own answers. I appreciate your attention, Kind Regards, Max Ledoux Tuftonboro, NH PS I had some difficulty with the web form for submitting this email. If this is the third email from me to you, I sincerely apologize.

Max Ledoux

The Right-To-Know Law (RSA 91-A) provides that most e-mail communications, to or from City employees and City volunteers regarding the business of the City of Manchester, are government records available to the public upon request. Therefore, this email communication may be subject to public disclosure.